



MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

October 15, 2007

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7005 2570 0000 4801 7932

Mr. David Penney 2400 East 30 South Box 312 Beaver, Utah 84713-0312

Subject: <u>Proposed Assessment for Cessation Order MC-2007-01-10, David Penney dba</u>
Penney's Gemstones, LLC, Lucin Variscite Mine, S/003/0034, Box Elder County, Utah

Dear Mr. Penney:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division Inspector, Lynn Kunzler, on September 12, 2007 (Our records indicate that you received the Order on September 28, 2007 via certified mail). Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty for the violation as follows:

- MC-2007-01-10- Violation 1 of 1 \$1760
- The enclosed worksheet specifically outlines how the violation was assessed.

By these rules, any written information, which was submitted, by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty. If the violation has not been abated at the time of the proposed assessment, the assignment of good faith points cannot be made. If you feel that you are eligible for good faith, you should supply relevant information to the assessment officer within 15 days of the violation abatement date so that it can be factored into the final assessment. The final assessment cannot be completed until the abatement is done and the violation terminated.

David Penney Page 2 of 6 S/003/0034 October 15, 2007

Otherwise, under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of the Cessation Order</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director or Associate Director or appointed Conference Officer. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph one, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the cessation order will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the final assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock Assessment Officer

2 Haddock

Enclosure: Worksheets

vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec. Vicki Bailey, Accounting

 $P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M003-BoxElder\S0030034-LucinVariscite\non-compliance\MC-07-01-10\proAssessment-CO.doc$ 

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COM	PANY /	MINE	Penney's Gemsto	nes/ Lucin Variscite Mine	_PERMI	Γ <u>S/003/00</u>	)34
NOV	/ CO #	MC-2	2007-01-10		VIOLA	TION _1_	of <u>1</u>
ASSE	SSMEN	NT DAT	ΓE October 15	5, 2007			
ASSE	SSMEN	IT OFF	ICER <u>Daron R.</u>	Haddock			
I.	HIST	ORY (	(Max. 25 pts.) (R64	17–7-103.2.11)			
	A.		nere previous violat (3) years of today's	ions, which are not pendin date?	g or vaca	ted, which	fall within
	PREV	'IOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	_	POINTS (1pt for NOV	5pts for CO)
		none					<del></del>
II.	SERI(	OUSNI	<u>ESS</u> (Max 45pts) (		AL HIST	ORY POI	NTS <u>0</u>
	NOTE:			f points in Parts II and III,	the follow	wing apply:	
	1.			pplied by the inspector, the each category where the v			r will
		2.	adjust the points	mid-point of the category, up or down, utilizing the inding documents.	the Asses	ssment Offi s and operat	cer will or's
	Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? <u>Event</u> (assign points according to A or B)						
	A.	EVE	NT VIOLATION (	Max 45 pts.)			
		1.	What is the event	which the violated standa	rd was de	esigned to p	revent?

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

# ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\* An Operator is required to obtain a permit from the Division of Oil Gas and Mining prior to conducting mining operations. Acreage outside the small mine permit area has been disturbed at this location without revising the permit to do so. While the Operator has a permit for a small mine, which allowed disturbance up to 4 acres, the operation has expanded into an area several hundred feet to the north of the approved area. About ¼ acre has been affected by activity that is outside of the approved permit area. A reclamation bond has not been posted for this area. Disturbance has actually occurred.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 8
------------------------

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\* The inspector stated that the operator has disturbed approximately 1/4 acres of land that had not been approved for disturbance. The damage was the loss of vegetation and soil resources from the area disturbed. No reclamation bond has been provided to ensure that reclamation will occur. Further discussion with the inspector revealed that the damage is probably temporary. While the soil and vegetation have been disturbed, the site could still be reclaimed. Even though the damage is extensive over the property, it probably does not leave the site of disturbance. Damage is accessed in the lower 1/3 of the range.

В.	<u>ADMINISTRATI</u>	<u>/E VIOLATIONS</u>	(Max 25pts)
----	---------------------	----------------------	-------------

1.	Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement	ıt?
		RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

<b>ASSIGN HINDRANCE PO</b>	INT	S	
----------------------------	-----	---	--

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*

## TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 28

#### III. DEGREE OF FAULT (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\* The inspector indicated that the violation was the result of the Operator not taking reasonable care in keeping track of the acreage disturbed. Approximately 1/4 acres of disturbance beyond that allowed by the small mine permit has occurred. This indicates indifference to the rules or misunderstanding of the rules. A prudent operator would understand the need to keep within the approved boundaries and obtain approval prior to disturbing an area. The Operator was negligent in this regard, thus the assignment of points in the middle part of the negligence range.

## IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

• Immediate Compliance

-11 to -20\*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

• Normal Compliance 0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

**Difficult Abatement Situation** 

• Rapid Compliance

-11 to -20\*

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

-1 to -10\*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

• Extended Compliance

0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

FASY OR	DIFFICULT	ABATEMENT?	Difficult	
THOI ON	DITTOOLI	VDVIDIMITIAT:	Difficult	

# ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS pending

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\* The abatement has not yet been completed, so good faith points cannot be awarded at this time. This category will be looked at again after the abatement has been completed. Points will be awarded depending on how quickly the abatement is met.

### V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # <u>MC-07-01-1</u>	<u> </u>
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	28
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	36
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 1,760

<sup>\*</sup>Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.